

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/002,713

Applicant(s)

ICHIRIU ET AL.

Examiner

James C Kerveros

Art Unit

2133

All Participants:

(1) James C Kerveros.

(2) Charles E. Shemwell.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-61

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPB Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

During a telephone conversation with Charles E. Shemwell on March 17, 2004, a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-22 and 39-49. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 23-38 and 50-61 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.